

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,572	04/22/2004	Daniel R. Wright	MTC 6875.1 (39-21(52751)B	6729
321 SENNIGER P	7590 12/18/200 OWERS LLP	EXAMINER		
100 NORTH I		BROWN, COURTNEY A		
17TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/829,572	WRIGHT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
COURTNEY BROWN	1616	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>04 December 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLO	WANCE.
---	--------

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 29-53.59 and 62

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance

because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. ☐ Other:

/Ernst V Arnold/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claims 67-70 intorduce a new limitation that was not previously presented which further requires that the instant composition provides early visual symptom of plant treatment. Within about 5 days after treatment and at least 70% plant control provided about 20 days after treatment. These new limitations require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Arguments filed December 4, 2009 do not place any of the claims in condition for allowance because the prior rejection filed October 5, 2009 still meets limitations and the arguments are not persuasive to overcome the rejection. Applicant argues that the difference between the prior att and the instant claims is the selection and combination of glyphosate (or a sait or ester thereof) and a pyridine analog termidation of glyphosate acid equivalent to pyridine analog thereby achieving both early plant control symptomology and long term plant control. Applicant argues that none of the cited references recognize the ratio of glyphosate to cherical sea being a result effective variable for the purpose of overcoming glyphosate-pyridine analog herbicide antagonism in order to achieve both early plant control symptomology and long term plant control. However, the Examiner disagrees with Applicant's argument because the compositions taught by Jimoh, Hacker et al., and Brigance comprise the same components as instantly claimed and would thus inherently overcome otherosate.

New claims 63-66 present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. New claims 67-70 intorduces a new limitation which further requires that the instant composition provides early visual symptom of plant treatment within about 5 days after treatment and at least 70% plant control provided about 20 days after treatment. Thus, new clams 67-70 would require further search and examination. Refer to the Final rejection, mailed on October 5, 2009 for any clarifications.

The claims remain rejected.